

N61331.AR.001776
NSA PANAMA CITY
5090.3a

FINAL MINUTES FROM CONFERENCE CALL MEETING DATED 12 SEPTEMBER 2007 CSS
PANAMA CITY FL
9/12/2007
TETRA TECH

NSA Panama City Meeting Minutes.
Conference Call
September 12, 2007
10:00 PM Eastern

Leader: Mike Clayton NSA PC

Scribe: Denise Slowick TtNUS

Attendees:

Tracie Bolanos

FDEP

Mike Clayton

NSA PC

Tom Johnston

TtNUS TOM

Rich May

TtNUS Tier II Link

Bill Gates

NAVFAC SE

Larry Smith

TtNUS

John Robinson

NAVFAC SE

Item	Discussion/Status/Actions
Check-In	<p>Mike presided over the meeting check-in and indicated that there were no changes to the agenda. Mike went over the Action items from the July meeting. Updates of the Action Items are attached to these meeting minutes.</p> <p>Tracie and Tom discussed the extra language provided by FDEP concerning land use and enforcement of land use controls that FDEP wants to be included in Statements of Basis and other documents, as appropriate. For SWMU 2 the language can be incorporated now. With regard to SWMU 3 the group decided that further discussion was in order. For SWMU 10 and AOC 1, those statements of basis are in FDEP review now and Tracie thinks they will have to be revised to incorporate this new language.</p> <p>The question was raised concerning whether fresh or marine surface water criteria were the appropriate criteria to apply to drainage channel surface water samples. Tracie indicated that marine limits were appropriate, in part because the water body of greatest interest is St. Andrew Bay. This bay is saline, and so are at least half of the surface water samples from surface drainages. The group agreed with Tracie's decision. All Action Items were completed.</p> <p>ACTION ITEM #1: Denise will send out July meeting minutes with any changes for Final review and approval</p>
Petroleum Update:	G300 draft Work Plan done, in review and will be ready for submittal to Tom J. and then onto the Navy for review.
G300 - (Larry, Arturo)	
AOC 2	<p>AOC 2 is two or three days behind G300. The Navy is still negotiating the RAP with CH2MHill. The RAP for AOC 2 should be awarded by the end of this month.</p> <p>Larry asked when CH2MHill can start after being awarded. Bill does not know.</p> <p>Larry and John R. dropped off the conference call after this discussion.</p>
Sites 278 and 325	Bill indicated that the 1 st and 2 nd quarter reports from Aerostar will be going to Tracie in a few weeks. There was a

	<p>modification to the Aerostar contract to collect some soil samples. With the soil and 2 additional GW samples it should help with the petition for NFA at the sites.</p> <p>Bill said the 2nd quarter site wide monitoring had no surprises and no exceedences.</p> <p>Tracie does not need to see the sampling plan for the new soil samples. She wants Bill to send an e-mail explaining the plan/strategy so there is no hold up and Bill can move forward.</p> <p>Mike asked if this is the case for both sites and Bill said yes.</p>
<p>Non- Petroleum update:</p> <p>SWMU 2</p>	<p>Tracie submitted new language concerning residential/residential-like land use and LUC enforcement on 8-22-07.</p> <p>Tom said that we did a revision to incorporate that language and sent out change pages for the SWMU 2 Tech Memo, CMS, and SOB.</p> <p>Tracie and Tom verified that the new language had been incorporated into the SMWU 2 draft Statement of Basis and Tracie seemed to be satisfied with that.</p> <p>Tracie asked what kind of base-wide mgt plan would be used to implement and enforce LUCs at NSA PC? Mike and Arturo explained that the Excavation Permit Program would be used for controlling intrusive activities. More detail is provided in the CMIPs</p> <p>The language also needs to be incorporated into future summary letters</p> <p>ACTION ITEM #2: Tom will highlight the language in the SOB regarding the Non-residential like use and implementation of the LUCs and e-mail it to Tracie. DONE – he went over the language changes with her during the meeting.</p> <p>ACTION ITEM #3: Tracie will send the MacDill air base summary letter to the team to ensure we can make the NSA PC letters similar. DONE during the meeting.</p> <p>The language must be put into the NSA PC Summary Letters.</p> <p>The SWMU 2 Draft CMIP status is that it is waiting on Navy Legal comments.</p> <p>Tom asked whether Tracie had had time to review the Draft CMS report and she said she had not.</p> <p>Bill indicated that the Navy needs to get the remedy in place by the end of fiscal year 2007 and the Navy would feel better if Tracie would at least take a cursory look for any grave errors.</p> <p>Tracie said she, though she has not reviewed the CMS report, she will not change the remedy; she just wants to</p>

	<p>make sure the language is right.</p> <p>The SMWU 2 CMIP, which is waiting on Navy legal comments, incorporates the new LUC enforcement language and also includes Arturo's and Mike's changes.</p>
SWMU 3, 10 and AOC 1 SOB and CMIP	<p>ACTION ITEM #4: Tom committed to adding the new language to the SWMU 10/AOC 1 and SWMU 3 SOBs and Summary Letters at the appropriate time.</p> <p>Bill asked if by adding the new language would there be any changes to the public comment period. Does it have to go back to the public?</p> <p>Tracie did not know.</p> <p>Bill was concerned about the permit mod. He wanted to know whether the permit mod public comment period had to follow the SOB public comment period.</p> <p>Tracie requested an e-mail from Bill stating this question. John Griffin indicated that there was no problem to do both the permit mod and the SOB public comment periods at the same time.</p> <p>Action Item #5: Bill will send an e-mail to Tracie requesting whether the permit mod comment period had to follow the SOB public comment period.</p> <p>Bill indicated that we can prepare an extension request and a mod with all at once.</p> <p>Tracie indicated that the SWMU 3 SOB and/or concurrence letter needs to be beefed up regarding ecological risks.</p> <p>ACTION ITEM #6: Tom/Bill will check the Summer 2005 or 2006 meeting minutes (meeting in PC) in which UF stated that there is no ecological risk concern at SWMU 3 – Tracie indicated that UF looked at Human Health and had no concerns. Tom found some text on the last page of Appendix J of the RFI Addendum for SWMUs 3/9/10 and AOC 1, and Bill followed up with an e-mail to Tracie asking her to verify whether the text Tom found is the text she was referring to because it wasn't evident from the text itself (neither Bill nor Tom could find any other text on this topic). Bill is waiting on a response from Tracie</p> <p>Tracie asked for more specific language in the SOB for SWMU2 concerning remedy implementation. Bill indicated that it might be a problem to incorporate such text– because of the Navy policy not to be too specific regarding how the proposed remedies will be implemented until the designs (CMIPs) are developed. The CMIP is where</p>

	<p>the details of LUC implementation and any other actions such as groundwater monitoring would be specified.</p> <p>ACTION ITEM #7: Bill will follow up with Tracie on the FDEP informal comments for the SWMU 3 SOB to make sure that everything is understood. DONE Sep 23, 2007.</p>
	5 minute break
SWMUs 10 and AOC 1	<p>Tracie has not gotten feedback from the FDEP reviews on the Final SOBs submitted for SWMU 10/AOC 1 and SWMU 3. Tracie asked that Tom look at the language in those SOBs to see how the LUC enforcement and residential use language could be incorporated.</p> <p>ACTION ITEM #8: Tom will call Tracie at 9:00 tomorrow morning – Bill will join them – about the McDill language. Tracie was unable to attend the phone call and was unavailable for any subsequent calls that Bill attempted to set up.</p> <p>Mike was confused about why we need new language? Specifically, he asked why we would redo an SOB that has already gone through public comment period?</p> <p>Tracie indicated that with MacDill the new language has been added and since the NSA PC SOBs are not final they have to be changed.</p> <p>Mike asked, what if down the road FDEP wants even more new language?</p> <p>Tracie explained that the SOBs were not really final but they were in the process.</p> <p>Rich asked Tracie if the MacDill language she is asking to be incorporated into NSA PC documents went to TtNUS and the Navy. Tier II had the action item for Jim Crane to get it out and Tracie sent it to the team during the meeting.</p>
SWMUs 3, 9, 10, AOC 1 RFI	<p>Tom is working on the Draft SWMU 3 CMIP for Navy review by end of month.</p> <p>Tracie asked if we can just focus on SWMU 2 first. Tom said we could.</p> <p>Bill asked how far we can get on SWMU 2 before proceeding with document reviews on the other sites. Tracie just wants the SOB to look good so the rest can follow. She thought this would take about 4 months not 2 years. She is trying to be practical because she has schedule constraints. can't get everything done.</p> <p>Tom is working on all 3 CMIPs (SWMUs 3, 10, and AOC 1) and indicated that it would be nice to have a couple more</p>

	<p>weeks and Bill OK'd this. We should target the middle of October for all 3 for FDEP and Navy review. Follow-up: Tom sent SWMU 10 and AOC 1 CMIPs to the Navy on 9/28/07.</p> <p>Tracie asked if there is any procedure on base for governing implementation/enforcement of LUCs.</p> <p>Mike answered, yes NSA PC has an excavation permit review process and will incorporate it in the base master plan if the proposed plan is finalized.</p> <p>ACTION ITEM #9: Arturo and Mike will provide to Tom the language for LUC implementation on base – DONE between Sep 12 and Sep 28, 2007.</p> <p>Bill said that SWMU 10 and AOC 1 monitoring is in progress. The results are being reviewed by Navy personnel and are expected to lead to a reduction in the number of parameters and wells because they are not detecting any contamination at several wells. RFI 2003 2004 data addition</p> <p>Tom – revision 2 of the RFI Addendum is in progress and this will incorporate the 2003/2004 data. Other work has taken precedence, however, so this has been delayed.</p>
<p>SWMUs 2,3,9,10, AOC 1 Permit Mod</p>	<p>The permit extension strategy was discussed.</p> <p>John Griffin will take the necessary steps to add the 5 year\ extension to the permit</p> <p>Mike asked if the permit extension will be automatic? Bill said it would be automatic.</p> <p>Action Item #10: Tom committed to preparing draft permit extension/modification request letter by the end of the month. Follow-up: Tom completed this on 9/28/07 and submitted it to Bill who forwarded it to Arturo.</p> <p>Bill indicated that SWMU 14 is a duplicate of AOC 2.</p> <p>Bill was requested to provided an exit strategy update to Tier II. He asked that the Partnering Team let him know if they have a problem with any of the dates. He also sent out a draft of the CAMP and he will update the SOB dates. If there are any other changes the Team should let him know.</p> <p>ACTION ITEM #11 – Team to review exit strategies and the CAMP let Bill know</p> <p>ACTION ITEM #12 – Denise will send Action Items from this meeting to Tom today ASAP so he can be sure to address the new language as requested by FDEP.</p>

<p>TIER II</p>	<p>Rich talked about the Tier II meeting last week and indicated that the MacDill language for SOBs was brought up.</p> <p>Tier II hasn't talked about the presentation of success stories but Rich indicated that they are most likely be resurrected in a slightly different format.</p> <p>TtNUS has a repository of success stories and their format looks good. Guidance from Tier II will be forthcoming.</p> <p>Reorganization of NAVFAC was discussed.</p>
<p>Closeout – Action items, consensus items, next agenda</p>	<p>Next meeting:</p> <p>Bill requested a team meeting 2 months from now but realizes that Tracie's schedule drives it.</p> <p>A face to face meeting in Tallahassee was planned for Nov. 15 (Thursday) in the TtNUS Office. All concurred.</p> <p>Leader is Bill Gates. He will send the Agenda out.</p>
<p>Old Action Items from Previous Meetings, and Their Status:</p>	
<p>From January, 2007 Teleconference</p>	<p>On the RFI Addendum Tracie has given comments and Gerry has drafted responses. Bill said the response to comments should be returned to Tracie by today or tomorrow. Tracie said that FDEP does not accept the poor quality aquifer position taken by the Navy and Bill understood. Arturo asked about an e-mail he sent about trees to Bill asking if we can we remove them?</p> <p>ACTION ITEM #13: Bill will check with Van and see what is in the contract. Gerry/Bill will get the response to comments on the SWMU 2 RFI Addendum to Tracie (completed 1/18/07) and Bill will check with Van to determine what is in the contract regarding tree removal (funding for tree removal provided 4/27/07)</p>
<p>From March, 2007 Teleconference</p>	<p>Bill was unable to get one-time site-wide sampling contracted for March so Aerostar did normal March sampling. The contract is renewed with them. Site wide – one time sampling will be done and the data used to determine whether to do quarterly monitoring. Tracie says the Navy needs to submit a MOP (monitoring only plan) or an MNA (monitored natural attenuation) plan (this action is in-progress).</p> <p>Tracie would like color figures from OHC in future LTM reports. (This action in-progress and will not be carried any more as a continuing action item).</p>